

From: "Jeffrey R. Single" <jsingle@compuserve.com>
To: Kevin Shaffer <KSHAFFER@hq.dfg.ca.gov>
Date: 5/19/99 8:59AM
Subject: Fris/Kie/Shaffer discussion

I think Kevin's last discussion provided a fairly clear context for some of our policy wrangling; Mike, yours did too. Marti's comments highlight the sensibilities of Calfed (and the contractors, or is this inference?); these are important to at least be aware of and prepared to address. It seems that we are also looking at specific measures; those is something we might be able to deal with, but once the rationale for a questioned measure is identified as essentially policy or philosophy, then we should stop trying to resolve it, and state the issues as clearly as possible.

In addition to a list of clearly stated irresolvable items, we consider the following:

1. Verify that we have remained consistent with Calfed/ERP/ intentions
2. Confirm / affirm the policy directions of NCCP and Section 7;
3. identify and resolve biologically-based questions on conservation measures.
4. Identify real policy problems, as opposed to problems of acceptance, scale, cost/benefits, attitude, etc.
5. Identify the problems of acceptance, scale, cost/benefits, attitude, etc.

Jeff